CAMBOURNE TOWN COUNCIL

District of South Cambridgeshire

Council Meeting 2nd November 2021

Correspondence

The below schedule is a summary of correspondence circulated by the Town Clerk to Councillors

	FROM	SUBJECT
12.1	Stop the Arc Team	Email from Stop the Arc regarding the OxCam Arc
12.2	Cambourne Gliding Club	Agenda and Minutes from Cambourne Gliding Club
12.3	NALC (National Association of Local Councils)	NALC report on climate change

Correspondence circulated since the last meeting by email

FROM	SUBJECT
CAPALC	October Bulletin
East West Rail	Simon Blanchflower Retirement
Road Victims Trust	Invitation to Road Victims Trust Remembrance Service, Sunday 21st November 2021

AGENDA ITEM No. 12.1

From: David Rogers < stopthearc@gmail.com >

Sent: 05 October 2021 02:32

To: clerk@cambourneparishcouncil.gov.uk

Subject: Ox-Cam Arc Spatial Framework Consultation

Dear Parish Contact

We are writing to you in your capacity as Parish Contact for your community.

We are the Stop the Arc Group campaigning to raise awareness of the Government's plans to increase the total population of the five counties of the Ox-Cam Arc (Beds, Bucks, Cambs + Peterborough, Northants and Oxon) by at least 50 to 60% by 2050, a period when the Office of National Statistics expects the average population growth of the country to be only about 16%.

Putting so much growth in the five Arc counties will increase the strain on all our resources and infrastructure. It will increase road congestion and pollution, contribute massively to climate change and will do untold damage to our natural environment, already among the most impoverished of Europe.

Stop the Arc's website, with more details of the Government's plans, is here:

https://www.noexpressway.org/

As you are probably aware, the Government is currently running until the 12th October a public consultation on the Oxford-Cambridge Arc Spatial Framework.

This consultation, the first of three, has been widely criticised.

The Consultation document contains absolutely no information about the scale of development proposed for the Arc; nothing at all on the number of extra houses, or jobs or economic output expected from all this development. Yet we know from earlier documents that the Government plans to add up to one million more houses to the Arc, almost one quarter of which are earmarked for London commuters.

Embarrassed by the public outcry, the Government has simply stopped talking about the additional houses planned but keeps talking about its ambitions for both jobs and the economy which, its own 'careful research' has shown, require one million more houses!

The Stop the Arc campaign has created an alternative Ox-Cam Arc consultation that you can find on our website homepage here:

https://www.noexpressway.org/

A more direct link straight to the survey is here:

https://tinyurl.com/5MinArcSurvey

Our alternative survey makes clearer some of the hard choices that lie ahead for the Ox-Cm Arc and gives the public the opportunity to say just how much development, and of what sort, it wants for the five counties; including an opportunity (denied in the official consultation) to say 'No' to the Arc altogether.

We would be most grateful if you could publicise our alternative survey as widely as possible, especially on your local and social media networks. We would like to release the results of this survey soon after the official deadline, so it is action in the next few days that will make all the difference here. If just the PC officers on this circular responded we would increase our response number by many hundreds!

As we come to understand more of the Government's plans for the Arc we would like to keep in contact with as many Arc Parish and other Councils as possible. You can sign up your Parish to receive our periodic Newsletters about Arc plans here:

https://www.noexpressway.org/how-you-can-help/#newsletter

and we are more than happy to make short presentations about the Arc to your Parish if you wish (by Zoom if you wish). There are some examples of such presentations on our News pages here:

https://www.noexpressway.org/news-updates

Finally, please find attached a 2-page summary (STARCPolicy Summary.pdf) of Stop the Arc's position on Ox-Cam Arc plans. You may find this useful for articles in your local parish magazine or website.

Yours sincerely,

David Rogers

for the

Stop the Arc Team



"An end to the Oxford-Cambridge Arc while supporting fair, democratic, local development"

The Oxford-Cambridge Arc consists of the five rural counties of Oxfordshire, Buckinghamshire, Northamptonshire, Bedfordshire and Cambridgeshire.

The Stop the Arc's campaign aims are:

- To challenge the concept of the Arc as an economic entity or as a basis for planning
- To scrap the target of up to one million new houses by 2050
- To promote a fully electrified East West Rail for both passengers and freight
- To preserve and enhance the natural environment, and
- To involve local people in deciding their future through genuine consultation

Economic Justification

The Government supported the 2018 National Infrastructure Commission's (NIC's) recommendations for transformational development of the Ox-Cam Arc area by 2050, involving one million new houses, 1.1 million new jobs, an East West Railway and a new Oxford-Cambridge Expressway, all with the aim of increasing economic output by £163 billion per annum through a 'Silicon Valley' effect (technically, 'agglomeration')¹. Development in the South and East of the country, it was claimed, would result in 'levelling up' across the entire nation, and would be associated with a minimum of 'doubling nature', improving wildlife and the quality of life of all present and future Arc residents.

STOP THE ARC's research shows that most (90%) of the claimed economic benefits for the Arc arise from assuming a larger and more productive work-force (something that could be developed anywhere in the country), and less than 10% arises from an 'agglomeration effect' which analysis has shown doesn't work on the scale of a single county, let alone five Investing in the Midlands and North would produce greater benefits (£183 billion p.a.) than in the Ox-Cam Arc (£163 billion p.a.) and would not involve the movement of hundreds of thousands of people from other parts of the country into the already over-heated Arc, with its expensive housing. Many studies have identified alternative growth corridors, arcs or hubs for such development and the UK2070 Commission Report has shown that investing yet more in the South and East of the country results in a lose-lose situation, and increasing inequality across the nation: spreading investment country-wide results in a win-win situation for both the North and South of the country, and decreasing inequality. A 2016 survey of the real Silicon Valley revealed that 46% of residents wanted to leave the Valley because of impossibly high house prices, and the low wages for many non hi-tech workers.

Housing

The Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government's Arc plans involve building houses at twice the current rate for at least the next 30 years in order to achieve the National Infrastructure Commission's (NIC's) ambition of one million new houses across the Arc by 2050, almost one quarter of which (23%⁵) are earmarked for London commuters who will contribute nothing at all to the economic output of the Arc.

STOP THE ARC calculates that the one million houses total is equivalent to more than eight new towns the size of Milton Keynes, or more than 17 new Oxfords or 18 new Cambridges⁹. Delivering these ambitious housing targets would increase the total housing stock of Oxon by 105%; of affected parts of Bucks and Beds by 66%; Northants by 74% and Cambs by 81%, whilst the Office of National Statistics predicts an increase in the total number of UK households of only 16% in the same period to 2050. Even the recently revised Government's housing targets (the new algorithm) estimates a housing 'need' across the Arc of only *c*. 20,000 p.a, ¹⁰ not the 30,000 p.a. of Arc plans. The scale of Arc ambitions must be reduced to a level that local economies, communities and their natural environments can support. There is no evidence that building more houses significantly reduces house prices (if anything, the reverse¹¹). Virtually nothing is said in all the Arc documents about building social houses on publicly owned land, a method that has been shown capable of providing inexpensive, better than zero-carbon houses at low cost to Local Authorities¹².

Transport

The **Department for Transport's Highways England** spent at least three years developing plans for the Ox-Cam Expressway, at a cost of £28 million¹³. England's Economic Heartland now has alternative road and rail plans to increase connectivity across the region but 'only to meet existing needs'¹⁴. These 'needs' do not include all the cars arising from the additional houses of Arc plans. If increasing congestion is to be avoided, a significant modal shift from private to public transport must occur. East-West Rail is only one ingredient here; private bus companies will also have to increase their service frequency and geographical coverage.

STOP THE ARC began life as the **No Expressway Group** and showed that the economic case for the expressway was even worse that the Government's own calculations suggested. The Expressway was formally cancelled in March 2021.

The modal shift from private to public transport will be easier to achieve if new housing developments are at high density around multi-modal transport hubs, accessible by active travel (the '15 minute city'). East-West Rail should be fully electrified, and more freight should be shifted from road to rail. Rural bus services should be on an hourly frequency serving all villages above a minimum size¹⁵. Cycling and greenways should be encouraged for first-mile/last-mile connectivity within towns, and for connecting urban centres.

Countryside and Wildlife

The **Arc Environment Group** is responsible for developing plans to 'double nature' across the Arc¹⁶. This involves the principle, during housing and other developments, of net environmental gain as required by the new Environment Bill¹⁷, or the use of the presently untested natural capital approach, putting a monetary value on environmental goods and services¹⁸. Arc supporters have made it very clear that if there is no development, there will be no funds for protecting or improving Nature.

STOP THE ARC emphasises that net environmental gain involves the certain loss of habitat in one area (for houses etc) with the uncertain aim of replacing that loss elsewhere, on 'offset sites'. A recent survey of the global experience of offsetting shows that only one third of projects aimed at no net loss achieve that objective, and two thirds fail¹⁹. DEFRA's biodiversity metric, used in offsetting attempts, estimates biodiversity from habitat type, a crude and unreliable measure of real biodiversity²⁰. An imprecise metric applied to net gain ideas that often fail in practice is likely to result in green-washing of a business-as-usual agenda by developers, resulting in yet further declines in nature.

Democracy

Whitehall and Ox-Cam Arc planners are deciding all our futures behind a wall of almost total secrecy. No Arc plans have ever been put before Parliament for approval and not a single meeting has been held by any Ministry, Local Authority, Government quango or Local Enterprise Partnership with any one of the 3.7 million people who live in the Arc at present. Meanwhile, the Department for International Trade reports that international investors "are queueing up to put money behind this".

STOP THE ARC asks 'Why do overseas developers know more about Arc plans than the Arc residents themselves?' Very few of the Arc planners and key decision-takers have been democratically elected for this task. There is a yawning democratic deficit in all Arc plans to date. The present Arc Spatial Framework consultation exercise is totally lacking in any details.

Climate Change

Climate change is the greatest existential threat to the future of the entire world. In the UK, transport accounts for the largest output (33% of the total) of greenhouse gases of all the energy sectors, and has shown the least decline since the 1990s²¹. Yet England's Economic Heartland's plans for the Arc will vastly increase the number of car journeys across the region (a recipe for future congestion²²), mitigated, it is claimed, by a decarbonisation strategy that will achieve a net zero carbon transport system by 2040²³. But decarbonisation plans at present are very sketchy.

STOP THE ARC says that a series of 5-year intermediate carbon reduction targets should be set, for both houses and transport systems, and further development should be conditional on meeting those targets.

Other planning considerations

The Covid pandemic will affect all future work practices in presently unpredictable ways. More home-working is likely to reduce the overall use of all transport systems, both public and private, but will increase demands on other parts of the infrastructure network (e.g. telecomms). The effects on all Arc plans are presently uncertain.

STOP THE ARC says that a correct response to these challenges is a flexible development program that can be adjusted as conditions change. With a decrease in movement (to and from work) and resulting greater localism, individuals and communities are likely to want to become more involved in deciding the future of the areas in which they live and work, and in the quality of the natural environment in which they spend most of their time.

Why should you listen to us? because we are already having an impact!

- As a small community group we raised awareness of the threat of the Ox-Cam expressway across all the affected areas, from Oxford to Milton-Keynes. One year after we presented our No Expressway Group petition (with over 15,000 signatures) to 10 Downing St, the expressway was officially cancelled.
- In the May 2021 Local elections we campaigned against members of the Arc Leadership Group (ALG) who were up for re-election. Two key members were voted out, and two more lost their place at the ALG table because their parties lost overall control of their Local Authorities. The electorate showed quite clearly that it refuses to have very high levels of development imposed on it without any consultation, as part of a Whitehall Plan that totally ignores its wishes.
- We spoke at 40 village meetings, involving c. 4,000 people about the Ox-Cam Arc plans before the expressway was cancelled.
- Post Covid we are giving a series of webinars to interested communities, showing how the economic case for the Arc is
 unfounded, the housing targets were never based on the needs of local businesses or even local communities, and how
 the proposals for greening the Arc through doubling nature are founded on the myth of net gain which hides a real loss
 of habitat stock to development.
- People enjoy living in the Arc because the major cities are surrounded by green belts and countryside that offer beautiful views and walks. Ox-Cam Arc over-development will destroy all this.
- No group other than STOP THE ARC is taking the campaign to the people affected by Arc plans, removing the veil of Whitehall secrecy to show what is being planned for their futures, but without any meaningful consultation to date.
- We will apply both top-down (at the political level) and bottom-up (at the grass roots, community level) approaches to make our views well known. We will explain Arc plans to communities, and we will speak truth to power.

https://stopthearc.org stopthearc@gmail.com

http://www.smartgrowthuk.org/resources/downloads/Arc Report 2.pdf

 $\underline{https://cp.catapult.org.uk/wp\text{-}content/uploads/2021/01/ldentifying-potential-growth-centres-across-Great-Britain.pdf}$

http://www.smartgrowthuk.org/resources/downloads/Smart Growth UK Response to Changes to the Current Planning System Consult ation.pdf

http://www.englandseconomicheartland.com/documents/405/EEH Transport Strategy Connecting People Transforming Journeys AV.pdf

http://publications.naturalengland.org.uk/publication/5850908674228224

¹ https://nic.org.uk/app/uploads//Partnering-for-Prosperty.pdf

² See economic analysis in https://www.noexpressway.org/news-updates/2021/5/6/neg-talk-to-the-oxford-extinction-rebellion-group

³ https://www.cpier.org.uk/media/1671/cpier-report-151118-download.pdf

⁴ https://www.centreforcities.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/Cities-Outlook-2020.pdf

⁵ https://nic.org.uk/app/uploads//Partnering-for-Prosperty.pdf

 $^{^{6}\,\}underline{\text{https://www.grantthornton.co.uk/globalassets/1.-member-firms/united-kingdom/pdf/publication/2014/where-growth-happens-the-high-growth-index-of-places.pdf}$

http://uk2070.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2020/02/UK2070-FINAL-REPORT.pdf

⁸ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Silicon Valley

⁹ 2017 Housing Stock figures from ONS

¹⁰ Lichfields calculated the housing targets for each local authority following the December 2020 revise algorithm https://lichfields.uk/grow-renew-protect-planning-for-the-future/how-many-homes-the-new-standard-method/#contents

¹¹ See Fig. 2 in

¹² https://corporate.jctltd.co.uk/goldsmith-street/

¹³ https://www.oxfordmail.co.uk/news/19195434.oxford-cambridge-expressway-cost-taxpayer-28m-scrapped/

¹⁴ The quote 'only to meet existing needs' was made by Naomi Green EEH Head of Technical Program following the release of this document in February 2021:

¹⁵ https://www.cpre.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/CPRE Every-village-every-hour executive-summary.pdf

¹⁶ https://www.semlep.com/modules/downloads/download.php?file name=2306

https://bills.parliament.uk/publications/41652/documents/310

¹⁸ This paper gives a worked example of natural capital accounting and the use of a biodiversity metric: https://www.forest-trends.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/09/BNG-in-CNCA-Resource-Paper-14-9-18-FINAL.pdf

¹⁹ https://conbio.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf/10.1111/conl.12664

²⁰ This site has a number of downloadable files including and Excel spreadsheet that calculates the DEFRA metric:

²¹ The decoupling of economic growth from carbon emissions: UK evidence - Office for National Statistics

²² https://www.itrc.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/arc-main-report.pdf

²³ http://www.englandseconomicheartland.com/documents/93/Pathways to Decarbonisation.pdf

AGENDA ITEM No. 12.2

Dear All,

The next meeting of the Cambridge Gliding Centre Consultative Committee Meeting will take place at 7pm in the Gliding Club Clubhouse on Wednesday 10th November.

The Agenda is as follows:-

- 1. Apologies for absence
- 2. Minutes of the meeting held on 7th April 2021
- 3. Matters arising
- 4. Chairman's report
- 5. 2022 Competitions
- 6. Complaints received since last meeting
- 7. Any other business
- 8. Date for next meeting

Please let me know if there are any additional items that you wish to discuss.

I attach a copy of the minutes of the last meeting on 7th April 2021.

Kind regards,

Michael Samuels Secretary, Cambridge Gliding Club



CAMBRIDGE GLIDING CLUB - CONSULTATIVE COMMITTEE

MINUTES OF MEETING: 1900 HRS WEDNESDAY 7TH APRIL 2021 BY ZOOM

PRESENT

Pete Joslin

CGC Chairman

Michael Samuels

CGC Secretary

Robert Murden

Little Gransden Parish Council Great Gransden Parish Council

Nick Ginn Keith Warburton

Gamlingay Parish council

APOLOGIES

Marian Burling

Croydon Parish Council

MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING

The minutes of the previous meeting, held on 11th November 2020, were accepted as a correct.

MATTERS ARISING

• There were no matters arising

CHAIRMAN'S REPORT

- Pete talked through the gliding club's operations since the previous meeting of the consultative committee:-
 - O Very little flying has taken place since the last meeting due to lockdowns.
 - o There has been minimal presence on the airfield.
 - O The airfield was very wet and unusable for much of the period.
 - One engine health flight for a tug aircraft was flown in March.
 - Advice was received from the Department for Transport (DfT) and the British Gliding Association (BGA) to say that Instructor Currency flying was permitted from 6th February onwards. Our first Instructor Currency flights were flown on 27th February
 - We recommenced solo flying only on 29th March
 - We will recommence dual instructional training flights on 12th April
 - Flying instruction will limited for the first few months due to instructor availability due to Covid-19.
 - O Membership renews this month and we anticipate similar numbers to last year.

AIRFIELD ACCESS

- Pete said that there have been a lot of walkers across the airfield over the winter though there are no public rights of way anywhere across the airfield. Most access has been via Mill Rd. We wish to welcome locals to the club but it is an active airfield.
- Our landowners and the Gliding Club are concerned about safety risks going forward, so new signage and a gate will soon be erected to encourage members of the public to keep away from the airfield.

2021 COMPETITIONS

- The Gransden Regionals Competition will take place between Saturday 7th August and Sunday 15th August
 - O The format of the competition is as for previous regionals competitions with launching by aerotow, with the bulk of launching confined to a narrow period from late morning onwards.
- The club's Cloud Rally is scheduled to take place between Saturday 21st August and Sunday 29th August. Both winch and aerotowing launch methods will be used.
- The date of the aerobatics competition at Little Gransden Airfield has changed so there
 is now no conflict with either of the above competitions.

COMPLAINTS RECEIVED SINCE LAST MEETING

 Pete said that since the last meeting only one complaint has been received, which was not about noise but to enquire why we had recommenced flying. We explained that the reason was for instructor currency flying as authorised by the Department for Transport.

ANY OTHER BUSINESS

• There was no other business.

DATE OF NEXT MEETING

Wednesday 10th November 2021 at 19:00hrs at Cambridge Gliding Centre

NALC publishes new report on climate change



NALC has published its new report on what can local councils do on climate change.

The report aimed to paint an accurate picture of how climate change is being tackled by local (parish and town) councils and identify the challenges they face. In doing so, NALC can better understand how to support local councils in creating more sustainable communities.

The research found that 38% of participating councils had already declared a climate emergency and a further 8% were pending declaration. An identifiable trend of the report found that councils who had made such declarations were more likely to take positive action on climate change.

However, local councils overwhelming challenge is that they feel too small to affect change due to a lack of expertise, finance, and power to act. 69% of councils needed guidance to apply for grants and subsidies, and a further 58% reported a need for improved skills and training.

NALC is committed to guiding and supporting local councils in accessing funding, expertise and power to influence to tackle climate change within their communities.

NALC collected data from local councils across England over four months through an online survey of 211 respondents.

Read the full report